Survey REBECA by EURAXESS 21-22 – Mentors

Hello!

Thank you for participating in REBECA by EURAXESS as a mentor, we hope the programme met your expectations!

We would like to hear your opinion about our programme, so we can improve it in further editions. We would be grateful if you fill out this survey. It will take you no more than 8 minutes.

Thank you for your collaboration.

REBECA by EURAXESS organizing team

Before starting, please answer these quick questions to test the survey system:

1. Please write the first letter of the name of your first pet (please write O , if you do not remember) {code A-Z,O}
2. Please write the second letter of your first family name {code A-Z}
3. Please write the month of your birthday. {code 1-12}

The system is working perfectly! Now let’s go to the survey.

**1. EXPECTATIONS**

Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statements:

(Values: 1. Strongly disagree, 2. disagree, 3. neutral, 4. agree, 5. Strongly agree)

Through REBECA by EURAXESS, I have been able:

* to share my knowledge or the way to obtain knowledge
* to obtain additional inspiration and different point of views from my mentee
* to widen my network (mentees or mentors)
* to increase my social impact
* to strength my mentorship skills
* to enhance my CV
* to increase my mentee career management skills

**Impact on mentee**

*After participating in the mentoring programme, I believe MY MENTEE has:*

Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statements:

(Values: 1. Strongly disagree, 2. disagree, 3. neutral, 4. agree, 5. Strongly agree)

* better knowledge of professional opportunities beyond academia
* more resources and connections necessary to explore professional opportunities beyond academia
* more clear understanding what part of his/her experience and achievements in academic research are useful to work in a non-academic environment
* increased the capability to recognize current knowledge and skills useful to work in non-academic environments
* increased the capability to recognize gaps on skills and competencies needed to obtain a job in a non-academic career
* become more confident in the ability to develop written 1 year and 5 year career goals, which are Specific, Measurable, Attainable, and Relevant.
* become more confident to move forward following his/her career decisions
* increased his/her ability to evaluate better his/her professional reality and his/her readiness to recognize and utilize professional opportunities.

**2. GENERAL SATISFACTION**

**Satisfaction with pairing**

* Please rate the level of satisfaction with your mentee (Values: 1. Not satisfied, 2. Slightly satisfied, 3. Moderately satisfied, 4. Very satisfied, 5. Extremely satisfied)
* How would you evaluate the matching outcome with your mentee? (Values: 1. Very unsatisfactory, 2. Unsatisfactory, 3. Indifferent, 4. Satisfactory, 5. Very satisfactory)
* Did your mentee have similar discipline background? (Yes/No/I do not know)
  + (Conditioned to Yes) It has been important for me that my mentee has similar discipline educational background (Values: 1. Strongly disagree, 2. disagree, 3. neutral, 4. agree, 5. Strongly agree)
* Did your mentor live in a different country than your country of residence?
  + Yes
  + No
  + (Conditioned to Yes). Rate how this different in residing countries affected on your mentoring relationship? (Values: 1. Very negatively, 2. Slightly negatively, 3. Neutral, 4. Slightly positively, 5. Very positively)
  + (Conditioned to Yes). Please, clarify if necessary.
* Do you believe that a different match (i.e. a mentee with a different research field or different career stage) would have allowed the mentee to benefit more from your career trajectory?
  + Yes
  + No
  + Partially

Please clarify, if necessary

* How many meetings did you finally have with your mentee?
  + One
  + Two
  + Three
  + Four
  + Five
  + Six
  + More than six
* Was the 6 months-duration of the programme period appropriate considering the aim of the programme? (Yes/No/Indiferent)

If no, explain how it would have worked better for you

* Do you expect to have further contacts with your mentee?
  + Yes
  + No

**Satisfaction with structure, tools and management**

* Please rate the attention received by the REBECA management team (Values: 1. Not satisfied, 2. Slightly satisfied, 3. Moderately satisfied, 4. Very satisfied, 5. Extremely satisfied)
* Please rate the Mentoring Handbook provided (Values: 1. Not useful, 2.Somehow useful, 3. Regular, 4. Useful, 5. Very useful)
* If you miss something on our Handbook, please let us know *(free text)*
* Please rate the following activities offered during the programme. (Values: 1. Not useful, 2. Somehow useful, 3. Regular, 4. Useful, 5. Very useful, 6, NA)
  + Kick-off meeting
  + Career Panel Industry
  + Career Panel Public Sector
  + Networking event
  + Wrap up event
* Please rate your general level of satisfaction with the programme (Values: 1. Not satisfied, 2. Slightly satisfied, 3. Moderately satisfied, 4. Very satisfied, 5. Extremely satisfied)

**Intention of recommendation**

* On a scale of 0-10, how likely are you to recommend this programme to your colleagues?
* Would you be willing to repeat as mentor in another mentoring programme with the same aim? (Values: 1. Very unlikely, 2.Unlikely, 3. I do not know yet, 4. Likely, 5. Highly likely)
* Please use this space to share with us what you have learned in the programme, highlights, weaknesses or any other comment, suggestion or claims you think that could help improving future editions. *(free tex)*

**5. PARTICIPANT PROFILE**

ALT (for *SGIC*) Please, write the last number of your age. Code {0-9}

1. Was this your first experience as a mentor?
2. Yes
3. No